CSB Program Requirements Matrix

The following table references the section headings used for the Evaluation Criteria on the CSB Program Requirements Matrix document with the wording stated on the Section M document.

Requirements Matrix Evaluation Criteria Technical Excellence	#	CSB Program	Section M	Sub-factors
Superior Customer Service				
Superior Customer Service		Evaluation Criteria		
2 Optimize Portfolio Management Seffective and Efficient Use of Resources Use resources effectively and efficiently, maximizing the integration of data and systems, the adaptability and the flexibility of systems and processes and the variety of use of self-service methods, and leveraging current technology throughout the life of the contract (i.e. technology refreshment plan). Vise resources effectively and efficiently, maximizing the integration of data and systems, the adaptability and the flexibility of systems and processes and the variety of use of self-service methods, and leveraging current technology throughout the life of the contract (i.e. technology refreshment plan). Business			Technical Excellence	
Optimize Portfolio Management (increase default recovery and asset performance) None identified at this time Management Section Management Section Management Section Management Section Management Management Section Management Managem	1	Superior Customer Service	Provide prompt, responsive and accurate customer service	- Transition
Management Management Management Selffective and Efficient Use Of Resources Selffective and Efficient Use Of Resources Of Res				
Seffective and Efficient Use of Resources Use resources effectively and efficiently, maximizing the integration of data and systems, the adaptability and the flexibility of systems and processes and the variety of use of self-service methods, and leveraging current technology throughout the life of the contract (i.e. technology refreshment plan). Integration Linegration Continuous Improvement Operations Transition Quality	2		Optimize Portfolio Management (increase default recovery and asset performance)	None identified at this time
and the flexibility of systems and processes and the variety of use of self-service methods, and leveraging current technology throughout the life of the contract (i.e. technology refreshment plan). Development - Integration - Continuous Improvement - Operations - Transition - Quality				
current technology throughout the life of the contract (i.e. technology refreshment plan). - Integration - Continuous Improvement - Operations - Transition - Quality - Susiness 4 Increase Small Business Participation: The offeror's proposal will be evaluated on the extent to which it utilizes small businesses to perform the work and contributes to Ed's small business participation goals. - Structure of Incentives/Disincentives - The proposed performance measures and incentives/disincentives (including incentives inherent in the pricing structure) will be evaluated based on the extent to which: - The performance measures effectively monitor performance and reward quality performance and compensation to FSA's achievement of the objectives set forth in the SOO. - Price - The offeror's cost/price proposal will be evaluated as follows: - Award of this requirement will be based on best value. The proposed price/costs will be evaluated but not scored. The cost evaluation will determine whether the proposed costs are realistic, complete, and reasonable in relation to the solicitation requirements. Proposed costs must be entirely compatible with technical proposal. The Government reserves the right to make an award to other than the lowest priced offeror or to the offeror with the highest technical score if the Contracting Officer determines that to do so would result in the best value for the Government. - Past Performance - Past Performance - Past Performance - Integration - Continuous Improvement - Operations - Transition - Quality - Rewards/Penalizes - Rewards/Penalizes - Rewards/Penalizes - Rewards/Penalizes - Rewards/Penalizes - Rowards/Penalizes - Rowards/Penali	3			
Continuous Improvement - Operations - Transition - Quality		of Resources		
Small Business Small Business Participation: The offeror's proposal will be evaluated on the extent to which it utilizes small businesses to perform the work and contributes to Ed's small business participation goals.			current technology throughout the life of the contract (i.e. technology refreshment plan).	
Business 4 Increase Small Business Participation businesses to perform the work and contributes to Ed's small business participation goals. 5 Structure of Incentives/Disincentives including incentives includ				
Business Participation Small Business Participation: The offeror's proposal will be evaluated on the extent to which it utilizes small business small businesses to perform the work and contributes to Ed's small business participation goals. 5 Structure of Incentives/Disincentives The proposed performance measures and incentives/disincentives (including incentives inherent in the pricing structure) will be evaluated based on the extent to which: 1. The performance measures effectively monitor performance and reward quality performance and ensure continuous performance improvements. 2. The contractor will bear the risk and cost of poor performance and commits to align its rewards and compensation to FSA's achievement of the objectives set forth in the SOO. 6 Price The offeror's cost/price proposal will be evaluated as follows: Award of this requirement will be based on best value. The proposed price/costs will be evaluated but not scored. The cost evaluation will determine whether the proposed costs are realistic, complete, and reasonable in relation to the solicitation requirements. Proposed costs must be entirely compatible with technical proposal. The Government reserves the right to make an award to other than the lowest priced offeror or to the offeror with the highest technical score if the Contracting Officer determines that to do so would result in the best value for the Government. Past Performance 7 Past Performance Each offeror's past performance will be evaluated to determine the Government's confidence in the offeror's None identified at this time				
Business 4 Increase Small Business Participation: The offeror's proposal will be evaluated on the extent to which it utilizes small businesses to perform the work and contributes to Ed's small business participation goals. 5 Structure of Incentives/Disincentives 6 Incentives/Disincentives 1. The performance measures and incentives/disincentives (including incentives inherent in the pricing structure) will be evaluated based on the extent to which: 1. The performance measures effectively monitor performance and reward quality performance and ensure continuous performance improvements. 2. The contractor will bear the risk and cost of poor performance and commits to align its rewards and compensation to FSA's achievement of the objectives set forth in the SOO. 6 Price The offeror's cost/price proposal will be evaluated as follows: Award of this requirement will be based on best value. The proposed performance improvements. Award of this requirement will be based on best value. The proposed costs are realistic, complete, and reasonable in relation to the solicitation requirements. Proposed costs must be entirely compatible with technical proposal. The Government reserves the right to make an award to other than the lowest priced offeror or to the offeror with the highest technical score if the Contracting Officer determines that to do so would result in the best value for the Government. Past Performance 7 Past Performance Each offeror's past performance will be evaluated to determine the Government's confidence in the offeror's None identified at this time				
Increase Small Business Small Business Participation: The offeror's proposal will be evaluated on the extent to which it utilizes small None identified at this time				- Quality
Participation businesses to perform the work and contributes to Ed's small business participation goals.				
The proposed performance measures and incentives/disincentives (including incentives inherent in the pricing structure) will be evaluated based on the extent to which: 1. The performance measures effectively monitor performance and reward quality performance and ensure continuous performance improvements. 2. The contractor will bear the risk and cost of poor performance and commits to align its rewards and compensation to FSA's achievement of the objectives set forth in the SOO. 3. The offeror's cost/price proposal will be evaluated as follows: Award of this requirement will be based on best value. The proposed price/costs will be evaluated but not scored. The cost evaluation will determine whether the proposed costs are realistic, complete, and reasonable in relation to the solicitation requirements. Proposed costs must be entirely compatible with technical proposal. The Government reserves the right to make an award to other than the lowest priced offeror or to the offeror with the highest technical score if the Contracting Officer determines that to do so would result in the best value for the Government. Past Performance Past Performance Past Performance Each offeror's past performance will be evaluated to determine the Government's confidence in the offeror's None identified at this time None identified at this time Past Performance Past Perfo	4			None identified at this time
Incentives/Disincentives Incentives/Disincentives Pricing structure) will be evaluated based on the extent to which: 1. The performance measures effectively monitor performance and reward quality performance and ensure continuous performance improvements. 2. The contractor will bear the risk and cost of poor performance and commits to align its rewards and compensation to FSA's achievement of the objectives set forth in the SOO. 6 Price The offeror's cost/price proposal will be evaluated as follows: Award of this requirement will be based on best value. The proposed price/costs will be evaluated but not scored. The cost evaluation will determine whether the proposed costs are realistic, complete, and reasonable in relation to the solicitation requirements. Proposed costs must be entirely compatible with technical proposal. The Government reserves the right to make an award to other than the lowest priced offeror or to the offeror with the highest technical score if the Contracting Officer determines that to do so would result in the best value for the Government. Past Performance Past Performance Each offeror's past performance will be evaluated to determine the Government's confidence in the offeror's None identified at this time				
1. The performance measures effectively monitor performance and reward quality performance and ensure continuous performance improvements. 2. The contractor will bear the risk and cost of poor performance and commits to align its rewards and compensation to FSA's achievement of the objectives set forth in the SOO. 6 Price The offeror's cost/price proposal will be evaluated as follows: Award of this requirement will be based on best value. The proposed costs will be evaluated but not scored. The cost evaluation will determine whether the proposed costs are realistic, complete, and reasonable in relation to the solicitation requirements. Proposed costs must be entirely compatible with technical proposal. The Government reserves the right to make an award to other than the lowest priced offeror or to the offeror with the highest technical score if the Contracting Officer determines that to do so would result in the best value for the Government. Past Performance 7 Past Performance Each offeror's past performance will be evaluated to determine the Government's confidence in the offeror's None identified at this time	5			
ensure continuous performance improvements. 2. The contractor will bear the risk and cost of poor performance and commits to align its rewards and compensation to FSA's achievement of the objectives set forth in the SOO. 6 Price The offeror's cost/price proposal will be evaluated as follows: Award of this requirement will be based on best value. The proposed price/costs will be evaluated but not scored. The cost evaluation will determine whether the proposed costs are realistic, complete, and reasonable in relation to the solicitation requirements. Proposed costs must be entirely compatible with technical proposal. The Government reserves the right to make an award to other than the lowest priced offeror or to the offeror with the highest technical score if the Contracting Officer determines that to do so would result in the best value for the Government. Past Performance Past Performance Each offeror's past performance will be evaluated to determine the Government's confidence in the offeror's None identified at this time		Incentives/Disincentives		
2. The contractor will bear the risk and cost of poor performance and commits to align its rewards and compensation to FSA's achievement of the objectives set forth in the SOO. The offeror's cost/price proposal will be evaluated as follows: Award of this requirement will be based on best value. The proposed price/costs will be evaluated but not scored. The cost evaluation will determine whether the proposed costs are realistic, complete, and reasonable in relation to the solicitation requirements. Proposed costs must be entirely compatible with technical proposal. The Government reserves the right to make an award to other than the lowest priced offeror or to the offeror with the highest technical score if the Contracting Officer determines that to do so would result in the best value for the Government. Past Performance Past Performance Each offeror's past performance will be evaluated to determine the Government's confidence in the offeror's None identified at this time				- Non-Performing Assets
compensation to FSA's achievement of the objectives set forth in the SOO. The offeror's cost/price proposal will be evaluated as follows: Award of this requirement will be based on best value. The proposed price/costs will be evaluated but not scored. The cost evaluation will determine whether the proposed costs are realistic, complete, and reasonable in relation to the solicitation requirements. Proposed costs must be entirely compatible with technical proposal. The Government reserves the right to make an award to other than the lowest priced offeror or to the offeror with the highest technical score if the Contracting Officer determines that to do so would result in the best value for the Government. Past Performance Past Performance Each offeror's past performance will be evaluated to determine the Government's confidence in the offeror's None identified at this time				
The offeror's cost/price proposal will be evaluated as follows: Award of this requirement will be based on best value. The proposed price/costs will be evaluated but not scored. The cost evaluation will determine whether the proposed costs are realistic, complete, and reasonable in relation to the solicitation requirements. Proposed costs must be entirely compatible with technical proposal. The Government reserves the right to make an award to other than the lowest priced offeror or to the offeror with the highest technical score if the Contracting Officer determines that to do so would result in the best value for the Government. Past Performance Past Performance Each offeror's past performance will be evaluated to determine the Government's confidence in the offeror's None identified at this time				
Award of this requirement will be based on best value. The proposed price/costs will be evaluated but not scored. The cost evaluation will determine whether the proposed costs are realistic, complete, and reasonable in relation to the solicitation requirements. Proposed costs must be entirely compatible with technical proposal. The Government reserves the right to make an award to other than the lowest priced offeror or to the offeror with the highest technical score if the Contracting Officer determines that to do so would result in the best value for the Government. Past Performance Fast Performance Each offeror's past performance will be evaluated to determine the Government's confidence in the offeror's None identified at this time				27 11 10 1 1 1 1
The proposed price/costs will be evaluated but not scored. The cost evaluation will determine whether the proposed costs are realistic, complete, and reasonable in relation to the solicitation requirements. Proposed costs must be entirely compatible with technical proposal. The Government reserves the right to make an award to other than the lowest priced offeror or to the offeror with the highest technical score if the Contracting Officer determines that to do so would result in the best value for the Government. Past Performance Past Performance Each offeror's past performance will be evaluated to determine the Government's confidence in the offeror's None identified at this time	6	Price		None identified at this time
proposed costs are realistic, complete, and reasonable in relation to the solicitation requirements. Proposed costs must be entirely compatible with technical proposal. The Government reserves the right to make an award to other than the lowest priced offeror or to the offeror with the highest technical score if the Contracting Officer determines that to do so would result in the best value for the Government. Past Performance Past Performance Each offeror's past performance will be evaluated to determine the Government's confidence in the offeror's None identified at this time				
costs must be entirely compatible with technical proposal. The Government reserves the right to make an award to other than the lowest priced offeror or to the offeror with the highest technical score if the Contracting Officer determines that to do so would result in the best value for the Government. Past Performance Past Performance Each offeror's past performance will be evaluated to determine the Government's confidence in the offeror's None identified at this time				
The Government reserves the right to make an award to other than the lowest priced offeror or to the offeror with the highest technical score if the Contracting Officer determines that to do so would result in the best value for the Government. Past Performance Past Performance Each offeror's past performance will be evaluated to determine the Government's confidence in the offeror's None identified at this time				
with the highest technical score if the Contracting Officer determines that to do so would result in the best value for the Government. Past Performance Past Performance Each offeror's past performance will be evaluated to determine the Government's confidence in the offeror's None identified at this time				
value for the Government. Past Performance Past Performance Each offeror's past performance will be evaluated to determine the Government's confidence in the offeror's None identified at this time				
Past Performance 7 Past Performance Each offeror's past performance will be evaluated to determine the Government's confidence in the offeror's None identified at this time				
7 Past Performance Each offeror's past performance will be evaluated to determine the Government's confidence in the offeror's None identified at this time				
	7	Past Performance		None identified at this time

Source Selection Information -- See FAR 2.101 and FAR 3.104

This document cannot be released outside the Government without express written consent of the Contracting Officer (CO)

CSB Program Requirements Matrix Key

						Evaluatio	on Criteria Secti	on M			
	Proposal	Proposal	Statement of	Т	echnical Excell	ence		Business			Gaps and
#	Туре	Instructions Section L	Objectives (SOO)	Superior Customer Service	Optimize Portfolio Mgmt	Effective and Efficient Use of Resources	Increase Small Business Participation	Structure of Incentives/ Disincentives	Price	Past Perf.	Other Comments
#	Technical, Business, Past Performance or General Instructions	Provide reference to Section L contents	Provide reference to applicable SOO Section(s)	Identify if the section is applicable to the Superior Customer Service evaluation criteria and its evaluation factors: - Transition - Operations	Identify if the section is applicable to the Optimize Portfolio Management evaluation criteria	Identify if the section is applicable to the Effective and Efficient Use of Resources evaluation criteria and its evaluation factors: - Risk - Quality - Transition - Integration - Development - Operations - Continuous Improvement	Identify if the section is applicable to the Increase Participation of Small Business evaluation criteria	Identify if the section is applicable to the Structure of Incentives/ Disincentives evaluation criteria and its evaluation factors: - Rewards/ Penalizes - Risk - Assumption - Non- Performing - Assets	Identify if the section is applicable to the Price evaluation criteria	Identify if the section is applicabl e to the Past Perf. evaluatio n criteria	Provide any gaps and/or deficiencies identified.

						Evaluatio	on Criteria Secti	on M			
	Proposal	Proposal	Statement of	Т	echnical Excell	ence		Business			Gaps and
#	Type	Instructions Section L	Objectives (SOO)	Superior Customer Service	Optimize Portfolio Mgmt	Effective and Efficient Use of Resources	Increase Small Business Participation	Structure of Incentives/ Disincentives	Price	Past Perf.	Other Comments
1	General Instructions	L.1 Order of Precedence (Solicitation)				No Evaluation 1					N/A
2	General Instructions	L.2 Type of Contract				Not Available fo	or Review				N/A
3	General Instructions	L.3 General Instructions				No Evaluation I	Necessary				N/A
4	Technical	L.4 1. Introduction	Section 1.1.1 FSA's Business Challenge Section 1.1.2 FSA Integration Efforts Section 1.2 Common Services for Borrowers (CSB) Initiative	- Transition - Operations	The introduction is a summary of the entire technical proposal	- Risk - Quality - Transition - Integration - Development - Operations - Continuous Improvement	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
5	Technical	L.4 2/3.SOW/WBS - Integrated Technical Environment	Section 1.3 Integrated Technical Environment	- Transition - Operations	N/A	- Transition - Integration - Development - Operations - Continuous Improvement	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

						Evaluatio	on Criteria Secti	on M			
	Proposal	Proposal	Statement of	Т	echnical Excell	ence		Business			Gaps and
#	Type	Instructions Section L	Objectives (SOO)	Superior Customer Service	Optimize Portfolio Mgmt	Effective and Efficient Use of Resources	Increase Small Business Participation	Structure of Incentives/ Disincentives	Price	Past Perf.	Other Comments
6	Technical	L.4 2/3 SOW/WBS - Data Management	Section 2.2.1 Data Management	- Transition - Operations	Proper data handling, transfers, etc. will help increase asset performance and increase default recovery	- Risk - Quality - Transition - Integration - Operations - Continuous Improvement	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
7	Technical	L.4 2/3. SOW/WBS - Transaction Processing	Section 2.2.2 Transaction Processing	- Operations	Timely and accurate transaction processing will help increase asset performance	- Risk - Quality - Integration - Development - Operations - Continuous Improvement	N/A	- Rewards/ Penalizes - Risk Assumption	N/A	N/A	N/A
8	Technical	L.4 2/3. SOW/WBS - Payment Processing	Section 2.2.3 Payment Processing	- Operations	Timely and accurate payment processing will help increase asset performance and increase default recovery	- Risk - Integration - Operations - Continuous Improvement	N/A	- Rewards/ Penalizes - Risk Assumption	N/A	N/A	N/A

						Evaluatio	on Criteria Secti	on M			
	Proposal	Proposal	Statement of	Т	echnical Excell	ence		Business			Gaps and
#	Type	Instructions Section L	Objectives (SOO)	Superior Customer Service	Optimize Portfolio Mgmt	Effective and Efficient Use of Resources	Increase Small Business Participation	Structure of Incentives/ Disincentives	Price	Past Perf.	Other Comments
9	Technical	L.4 2/3. SOW/WBS - Fulfillment	Section 2.2.4 Fulfillment Section 2.2.2 Transaction Processing	- Operations	Proper fulfillment processing and handling will help increase asset performance and increase default recovery	- Quality - Integration - Development - Operations - Continuous Improvement	N/A	- Rewards/ Penalizes	N/A	N/A	N/A
10	Technical	L.4 2/3. SOW/WBS - Document Management	Section 2.2.5 Document Management	N/A	N/A	- Risk - Quality - Integration - Development - Operations - Continuous Improvement	N/A	- Rewards/ Penalizes - Risk Assumption	N/A	N/A	N/A
11	Technical	L.4 2/3. SOW/WBS - Recovery and Resolution	Section 2.2.6 Recovery and Resolution Section 2.2.9 Delinquency Management	- Transition - Operations	Recovery and resolution of outstanding debts will increase default recovery and improve asset performance	- Risk - Quality - Integration - Transition - Integration - Development - Operations - Continuous Improvement	N/A	- Rewards/ Penalizes - Risk Assumption - Non- Performing Assets	N/A	N/A	N/A

						Evaluatio	on Criteria Secti	on M			
	Proposal	Proposal	Statement of	Т	echnical Excell	ence		Business			Gaps and
#	Туре	Instructions Section L	Objectives (SOO)	Superior Customer Service	Optimize Portfolio Mgmt	Effective and Efficient Use of Resources	Increase Small Business Participation	Structure of Incentives/ Disincentives	Price	Past Perf.	Other Comments
12	Technical	L.4 2/3. SOW/WBS - Customer Relationship Management (CRM)/ Customer Interaction	Section 2.2.7 Customer Relationship Management (CRM)/ Customer Interaction	- Operations	Customer Interaction tools and well-trained CSRs will increase default recovery and improve asset performance	- Risk - Quality - Integration - Transition - Integration - Development - Operations - Continuous Improvement	N/A	- Rewards/ Penalizes - Risk Assumption	N/A	N/A	N/A
13	Technical	L.4 2/3. SOW/WBS - Performance Management	Section 2.2.8 Performance Management	- Operations	A well-managed contract that supports business outcomes, operational metrics, and quality management will increase default recovery and improve asset performance	- Risk - Quality - Integration - Operations - Continuous Improvement	N/A	- Rewards/ Penalizes - Risk Assumption - Non- Performing Assets	N/A	N/A	N/A
14	Technical	L.4 2/3. SOW/WBS - Delinquency Management	Section 2.2.9 Delinquency Management Section 2.2.6 Recovery and Resolution	N/A	Delinquency Management is a linchpin to a profitable asset portfolio	- Risk - Quality - Integration - Development - Operations - Continuous Improvement	N/A	- Rewards/ Penalizes - Risk Assumption - Non- Performing Assets	N/A	N/A	N/A

						Evaluatio	on Criteria Secti	on M			
	Proposal	Proposal	Statement of	Т	echnical Excell	ence		Business			Gaps and
#	Type	Instructions Section L	Objectives (SOO)	Superior Customer Service	Optimize Portfolio Mgmt	Effective and Efficient Use of Resources	Increase Small Business Participation	Structure of Incentives/ Disincentives	Price	Past Perf.	Other Comments
15	Technical	L.4 2/3. SOW/WBS - Program Management	Section 2.2.10 Program Management	N/A	N/A	- Integration - Operations	N/A	- Rewards/ Penalizes - Non- Performing Assets	N/A	N/A	N/A
16	Technical	L.4 2/3. SOW/WBS - Hosting	Section 2.3.1 Hosting	N/A	N/A	- Transition - Operations - Continuous Improvement	N/A	- Risk Assumption	N/A	N/A	N/A
17	Technical	L.4 2/3. SOW/WBS - Application and System Software Maintenance	Section 2.3.2 Application and System Software Maintenance	N/A	N/A	- Integration - Development - Operations - Continuous Improvement	N/A	- Rewards/ Penalizes	N/A	N/A	N/A
18	Technical	L.4 2/3. SOW/WBS - Security	Section 2.3.3 Security	- Operations	N/A	- Risk - Quality - Integration - Operations - Continuous Improvement	N/A	- Risk Assumption	N/A	N/A	N/A
19	Technical	L.4 2/3. SOW/WBS - Quality Assurance (QA)	Section 2.3.4 Quality Assurance (QA) Section 2.2.8 Performance Management	- Operations	Quality processes, procedures, data, and technology will improve asset performance	- Quality - Integration - Development - Operations - Continuous Improvement	N/A	- Rewards/ Penalizes - Risk Assumption	N/A	N/A	N/A

						Evaluatio	on Criteria Secti	on M			
	Proposal	Proposal	Statement of	Т	echnical Excell	ence		Business			Gaps and
#	Туре	Instructions Section L	Objectives (SOO)	Superior Customer Service	Optimize Portfolio Mgmt	Effective and Efficient Use of Resources	Increase Small Business Participation	Structure of Incentives/ Disincentives	Price	Past Perf.	Other Comments
20	Technical	L.4 2/3. SOW/WBS - Training	Section 2.3.5 Training	- Transition - Operations	Well-trained CSRs and informed PCA staff will increase default recovery and improve asset performance	- Quality - Transition - Operations - Continuous Improvement	N/A	- Rewards/ Penalizes	N/A	N/A	N/A
21	Technical	L.4 2/3. SOW/WBS -Documentation	Section 2.3.6 Documentation	N/A	N/A	- Quality - Transition - Integration - Operations	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
22	Technical	L.4 2/3. SOW/WBS - Transition	Section 2.3.7 Transition	- Transition - Operations	N/A	- Transition - Integration - Operations	N/A	- Risk Assumption	N/A	N/A	N/A
23	Technical	L.4 4. Performance Measures and Quality Control Standards	Section 2.2.8 Performance Management Section 2.3.4 Quality Assurance (QA)	- Operations	Encouraging specific performance standards will increase default recovery and improve asset performance	- Risk - Quality - Operations - Continuous Improvement	N/A	- Rewards/ Penalizes - Risk Assumption - Non- Performing Assets	N/A	N/A	N/A

						Evaluatio	on Criteria Secti	on M			
	Proposal	Proposal	Statement of	Т	echnical Excell	ence		Business			Gaps and
#	Type	Instructions Section L	Objectives (SOO)	Superior Customer Service	Optimize Portfolio Mgmt	Effective and Efficient Use of Resources	Increase Small Business Participation	Structure of Incentives/ Disincentives	Price	Past Perf.	Other Comments
24	Technical	L.4 5. Management, Staffing, and Scheduling	Section 2.3.5 Training Section 2.3.7 Transition Section 2.2.8 Performance Management Section 1.2 Common Services for Borrowers (CSB) Initiative	- Operations	N/A	- Integration - Transition - Operations	N/A	- Rewards/ Penalizes - Risk Assumption	N/A	N/A	The evaluation criteria "Increase Participation of Small Business" could also map to this part of the proposal with small changes to Section L.
25	Technical	L.4 6. Qualifications and Availability of Project Personnel	Section 2.3.5 Training Section 2.3.7 Transition Section 1.2 Common Services for Borrowers (CSB) Initiative	- Operations	N/A	- Quality - Integration - Transition - Operations	N/A	- Rewards/ Penalizes	N/A	N/A	N/A

						Evaluatio	on Criteria Secti	on M			
	Proposal	Proposal	Statement of	Т	echnical Excell	ence		Business			Gaps and
#	Type	Instructions Section L	Objectives (SOO)	Superior Customer Service	Optimize Portfolio Mgmt	Effective and Efficient Use of Resources	Increase Small Business Participation	Structure of Incentives/ Disincentives	Price	Past Perf.	Other Comments
26	Technical	L.4 7. Organizational Experience	Section 2.3.4 Quality Assurance (QA) Section 2.3.5 Training Section 2.2.8 Performance Management Section 1.2 Common Services for Borrowers (CSB) Initiative	N/A	N/A	- Risk - Quality - Integration - Operations - Continuous Improvement	N/A	- Rewards/ Penalizes - Risk Assumption	N/A	N/A	The evaluation criteria "Increase Participation of Small Business" could also map to this part of the proposal with small changes to Section L.
27	Business	L.5 A. Standard Form 33 "Solicitation, Offer, and Award"			Standa	ard Form. No Eva	luation Necessar	y			N/A
28	Business	L.5.B Requirements for Cost or Pricing Data or Information Other Than Cost or Pricing Data, Alternate IV from FAR 52.215-20	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	All pricing and relevant information will be evaluated under this factor	N/A	Duplicated in General Instructions (Line 46)

						Evaluatio	on Criteria Secti	on M			
	Proposal	Proposal	Statement of	Т	echnical Excell	lence		Business			Gaps and
#	Туре	Instructions Section L	Objectives (SOO)	Superior Customer Service	Optimize Portfolio Mgmt	Effective and Efficient Use of Resources	Increase Small Business Participation	Structure of Incentives/ Disincentives	Price	Past Perf.	Other Comments
29	Business	L.5 C. Property and Equipment	Section 2.3.1 Hosting Section 2.3.3 Security	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	All pricing and relevant information will be evaluated under this factor	N/A	N/A
30	Business	L.5 D. Other Administrative Details			,	No Evaluation I	Necessary				N/A
31	Business	L.5 E.1 Statement to Establish Offeror's Financial Capability	Section 2.2.8 Performance Management	N/A	N/A	- Risk - Quality	N/A	- Rewards/ Penalizes	All pricing and relevant information will be evaluated under this factor	All past perf. will be evaluated under this factor	N/A
32	Business	L.5 E.2 Statement to Establish Offeror's Capability to Meet Delivery or Performance Schedules	Section 2.2.8 Performance Management Section 2.3.4 Quality Assurance	N/A	N/A	- Risk - Quality - Transition - Development - Operations	N/A	- Rewards/ Penalizes - Risk Assumption - Non- Performing Assets	All pricing and relevant information will be evaluated under this factor	All past perf. will be evaluated under this factor	N/A

						Evaluatio	on Criteria Secti	on M			
	Proposal	Proposal	Statement of	Т	echnical Excell	ence		Business			Gaps and
#	Туре	Instructions Section L	Objectives (SOO)	Superior Customer Service	Optimize Portfolio Mgmt	Effective and Efficient Use of Resources	Increase Small Business Participation	Structure of Incentives/ Disincentives	Price	Past Perf.	Other Comments
33	Business	L.5 E.3 Statement of Offeror's Record of Past Performance	Section 2.2.8 Performance Management	- Transition - Operations	A strong record of past performance in collection activities will increase default recovery and improve asset performance	- Risk - Quality - Transition - Integration - Development - Operations - Continuous Improvement	N/A	N/A	All pricing and relevant information will be evaluated under this factor	All past perf. will be evaluated under this factor	Appears redundant since Past Perf. will be submitted separately
34	Business	L.5 E.4 Statement of Offeror's Record of Business Integrity	Section 2.2.8 Performance Management Section 2.3.3 Security Section 2.3.4 Quality Assurance	- Transition - Operations	N/A	- Risk - Quality - Transition - Integration - Development - Operations - Continuous Improvement	N/A	- Rewards/ Penalizes - Risk Assumption - Non- Performing Assets	All pricing and relevant information will be evaluated under this factor	All past perf. will be evaluated under this factor	Appears redundant since Past Perf. will be submitted separately
35	Business	L.5 E.5 Statement of Offeror's Necessary Organizational Experience, Technical Skills, or the Ability to Obtain Them	Section 2.2.8 Performance Management	- Transition - Operations	A strong record of skills and past experience in collection activities will increase default recovery and improve asset performance	- Risk - Quality - Transition - Integration - Development - Operations - Continuous Improvement	N/A	N/A	All pricing and relevant information will be evaluated under this factor	All past perf. will be evaluated under this factor	Appears redundant since Past Perf. will be submitted separately

	Proposal Type	Proposal Instructions Section L		Evaluation Criteria Section M							
			Statement of Objectives (SOO)	Technical Excellence			Business				Gaps and
#				Superior Customer Service	Optimize Portfolio Mgmt	Effective and Efficient Use of Resources	Increase Small Business Participation	Structure of Incentives/ Disincentives	Price	Past Perf.	Other Comments
36	Business	L.5 E.6 Statement of Offeror's Possession of Necessary Facilities, or the Ability to Obtain Them	Section 2.3.1 Hosting Section 2.2.7.2 Call Center (CSR) Section 2.3.3 Security	N/A	N/A	- Operations	N/A	N/A	All pricing and relevant information will be evaluated under this factor	All past perf. will be evaluated under this factor	N/A
37	Business	L.5 E.7 Statement of Offeror's Compliance with Subcontractor Requirements	Section 2.2.8 Performance Management Section 2.3.5 Training	N/A	N/A	- Risk - Quality - Integration - Operations	A statement of compliance with subcontractor requirements should include information addressing the Department's small business participation goals	- Rewards/ Penalizes - Risk Assumption	All pricing and relevant information will be evaluated under this factor	All past perf. will be evaluated under this factor	What are sub- contract requirements other than small business participation? If so, is this redundant?
38	Business	L.5 E.8 Other Special Considerations	N/A	This factor may apply	This factor may apply	This factor may apply	This factor may apply	This factor may apply	This factor may apply	This factor may apply	N/A

	Proposal Type	Proposal Instructions Section L	Statement of Objectives (SOO)	Evaluation Criteria Section M							
				Т	echnical Excell	ence		Business		Past Perf.	Gaps and Other Comments
#				Superior Customer Service	Optimize Portfolio Mgmt	Effective and Efficient Use of Resources	Increase Small Business Participation	Structure of Incentives/ Disincentives	Price		
39	Business	L.6 Price Proposal	Section 1.2 Common Services for Borrowers (CSB) Initiative Section 2.3.2 Application and System Software Maintenance	N/A	N/A	N/A	Pricing information should include information addressing the Department's small business participation goals and the businesses used to support those goals	- Rewards/ Penalizes - Risk Assumption - Non- Performing Assets	All pricing and relevant information will be evaluated under this factor	N/A	N/A
40	Business	L.6 Small Business Participation	N/A	N/A	N/A	- Risk - Quality - Operations - Continuous Improvement	The business proposal should include information addressing the Department's small business participation goals and the businesses used to support those goals	- Rewards/ Penalizes - Risk Assumption	All pricing and relevant information will be evaluated under this factor	N/A	This has been stated as a goal for CSB, but is not mentioned in the SOO.
41	General Instructions	L.7 Forms Clearance Process		1	1	No Evaluation 1		<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>	N/A

	Proposal Type	Proposal Instructions Section L		Evaluation Criteria Section M							
			Statement of	Т	echnical Excell	ence		Business			Gaps and Other Comments
#			Objectives (SOO)	Superior Customer Service	Optimize Portfolio Mgmt	Effective and Efficient Use of Resources	Increase Small Business Participation	Structure of Incentives/ Disincentives	Price	Past Perf.	
42	General Instructions	L.8 Clarification Questions				No Evaluation 1	·				N/A
43	General Instructions	L.9 Provision for Evaluation Factor Amendments				No Evaluation I	Necessary				N/A
44	General Instructions	L.10 Comments on Small Business Regulatory Enforcement		No Evaluation Necessary							N/A
45	Past Performance	L.11 Past Performance Report	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	All past perf. will be evaluated under this factor	N/A
46	General Instructions	L.12 Requirements for Cost or Pricing Data or Information Other Than Cost or Pricing Data, Alternate IV from FAR 52.215-20	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	All pricing and relevant information will be evaluated under this factor	N/A	Duplicated in Business Proposal (Line 28) Mike J. Murray tasked with reviewing L.12 and L.6 to determine best way to present necessary information

	Proposal Type	Proposal Instructions Section L	Statement of Objectives (SOO)	Evaluation Criteria Section M							
				Technical Excellence			Business				Gaps and
#				Superior Customer Service	Optimize Portfolio Mgmt	Effective and Efficient Use of Resources	Increase Small Business Participation	Structure of Incentives/ Disincentives	Price	Past Perf.	Other Comments
47	General Instructions	L.14 Preaward On-Site Equal Opportunity Compliance Evaluation		No Evaluation Necessary							
48	General Instructions	L.15 Availability of Funds	Section 1.2 Common Services for Borrowers (CSB) Initiative	No Evaluation Necessary							
49	General Instructions	L.16 Submission of Electronic Funds Transfer Information				No Evaluation 1	Necessary				N/A
50	General Instructions	L.17 Service of Protest				No Evaluation I	Necessary				N/A